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HELPING AMERICA'S KIDS HAVE A BRIGHTER FUTURE

10 practices child welfare leaders should use today
READ OUR BLOG

FAMILY-CENTERED COMMUNITY CHALLENGES

KIDS COUNT DATA CENTER AND DATA BOOK

Our signature children's count helps you provide high-quality, evidence-based services to children.
KIDS COUNT
data center
We believe in a Texas that offers everyone the chance to compete and succeed in life.

We envision a Texas where everyone is healthy, well-educated, and financially secure.
Who?  What?  Why?
How many students are in bilingual/ESL programs in Travis County?
A project of the Annie E. Casey Foundation, KIDS COUNT is the premier source for data on child and family well-being in the United States. Access hundreds of indicators, download data and create reports and graphics on the KIDS COUNT Data Center that support smart decisions about children and families.
TEXAS INDICATORS

Data Provided By:
- National KIDS COUNT
- Center for Public Policy Priorities

Select a specific indicator below or build a custom indicator report for your community.

DEMOGRAPHICS INDICATORS

Basic Demographics
- Total population
- Total child population
- Child population by gender

Children in Immigrant Families
- Child population by nativity
- Children by family nativity
- Children in immigrant families by parent’s region of origin
- Children in immigrant families who are U.S. citizens
DATA PROVIDED BY:
- National KIDS COUNT
- Center for Public Policy Priorities

SELECT A SPECIFIC INDICATOR BELOW OR BUILD A CUSTOM INDICATOR REPORT FOR YOUR COMMUNITY.

DEMOGRAPHICS INDICATORS

**Basic Demographics**
- Total population
- Total child population
- Child population by gender

**Children in Immigrant Families**
- Child population by nativity
- Children by family nativity
- Children in immigrant families by parent's region of origin
- Children in immigrant families who are U.S. citizens
TENAS INDICATORS

Data Provided By:
- National KIDS COUNT
- Center for Public Policy Priorities

Select a specific indicator below or build a custom indicator report for your community.

DEMOGRAPHICS INDICATORS

Basic Demographics
- Total population
- Population by gender

Children in Immigrant Families
- Child population by nativity
- Children by family nativity
- Children in immigrant families by parent's region of origin
- Children in immigrant families who are U.S. citizens
Early Childhood

- Children (0-12 years) receiving subsidized child care
- Pre-kindergarten enrollment 3 & 4 year-olds
- Head Start enrollment (ages 3-4)
- Pre-kindergarten enrollment within age group
- Early Head Start enrollment (ages 0-3)

Age

- High School Graduates
  - Unnamed Series  Explain series
- High School Dropouts
  - Unnamed Series  Explain series
- High School - Continuing
  - Unnamed Series  Explain series
- High School - Received GED
  - Unnamed Series  Explain series
- High School - Continued
  - Unnamed Series  Explain series
- High School - Failed or Withdrawn
  - Unnamed Series  Explain series
- Attrition in public high schools
- Students in bilingual/ESL programs
Select a specific indicator below or build a custom indicator report for your community.

EDUCATION INDICATORS

Early Childhood
- Children (0-12 years) receiving subsidized child care
- Pre-kindergarten enrollment 3 & 4 year-olds
- Head Start enrollment (ages 3-4)
- Pre-kindergarten enrollment within age group
- Early Head Start enrollment (ages 0-3)

School Age
- High School Graduates
  - Unnamed Series Explain series
- High School Dropouts
  - Unnamed Series Explain series
- High School - Continuing
  - Unnamed Series Explain series
- High School - Received GED
  - Unnamed Series Explain series

DATA PROVIDER
NATIONAL KIDS COUNT
Learn More >
## Students In Bilingual/ESL Programs

### Year(s): 5 selected | Data Type: All

Data Provided by: Center for Public Policy Priorities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Data Type</th>
<th>2009 - 2010</th>
<th>2010 - 2011</th>
<th>2011 - 2012</th>
<th>2012 - 2013</th>
<th>2013 - 2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anderson</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>469</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>511</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrews</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>486</td>
<td>502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
<td>13.2%</td>
<td>13.4%</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angelina</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>2,251</td>
<td>2,277</td>
<td>2,369</td>
<td>2,464</td>
<td>2,536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
<td>13.5%</td>
<td>14.0%</td>
<td>14.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aransas</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archer</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armstrong</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>LNE</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>LNE</td>
<td>LNE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
← Select location.

← Compare to Texas.

← Select time period.

← Choose number or percent.
Students in Bilingual/ESL Programs

Year(s): 5 selected | Data Type: All

Data Provided by: Center for Public Policy Priorities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Data Type</th>
<th>2009 - 2010</th>
<th>2010 - 2011</th>
<th>2011 - 2012</th>
<th>2012 - 2013</th>
<th>2013 - 2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anderson</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>469</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>511</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrews</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>486</td>
<td>502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
<td>13.2%</td>
<td>13.4%</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angelina</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>2,251</td>
<td>2,277</td>
<td>2,369</td>
<td>2,464</td>
<td>2,536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
<td>13.5%</td>
<td>14.0%</td>
<td>14.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aransas</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archer</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armstrong</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>LNF</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>LNF</td>
<td>LNF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Check definitions.

**Students in Bilingual/ESL Programs**

Year(s): 5 selected | Data Type: All

Data Provided by: Center for Public Policy Priorities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Data Type</th>
<th>2009 - 2010</th>
<th>2010 - 2011</th>
<th>2011 - 2012</th>
<th>2012 - 2013</th>
<th>2013 - 2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anderson</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>469</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>511</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrews</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>486</td>
<td>502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
<td>13.2%</td>
<td>13.4%</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angelina</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>2,251</td>
<td>2,277</td>
<td>2,369</td>
<td>2,464</td>
<td>2,536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
<td>13.5%</td>
<td>14.0%</td>
<td>14.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aransas</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archer</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armstrong</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>LNE</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>LNE</td>
<td>LNE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Students In Bilingual/ESL Programs

Year(s): 5 selected | Data Type: All

Data Provided by: Center for Public Policy Priorities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Data Type</th>
<th>2009 - 2010</th>
<th>2010 - 2011</th>
<th>2011 - 2012</th>
<th>2012 - 2013</th>
<th>2013 - 2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anderson</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>469</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>511</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrews</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>486</td>
<td>502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
<td>13.2%</td>
<td>13.4%</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angelina</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>2,251</td>
<td>2,277</td>
<td>2,369</td>
<td>2,464</td>
<td>2,536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
<td>13.5%</td>
<td>14.0%</td>
<td>14.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aransas</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archer</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armstrong</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>LNE</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>LNE</td>
<td>LNE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What is up with Dallas County?
Select a specific indicator below or build a custom indicator report for your community.
CREATE YOUR CUSTOM REPORT

Create a custom profile for geography of interest containing more than one indicator.

Select a Location (choose one)

Texas

- For Texas
- By County

- Crosby
- Culberson
- Dallam
- Dallas
- Dawson
- Deaf Smith
- Delta
- Denton

- By City
- By Congressional District (113th Congress)
- By Congressional District (109th-112th Congress)

CANCEL NEXT
CREATE YOUR CUSTOM REPORT

Location: Texas

- View all indicators for Texas (72)

Or Select the Specific Indicators You Want:

- Demographics (5)
- Economic Well-Being (12)
- Education (23)
- Family & Community (2)
- Health (25)
- Safety & Risky Behaviors (5)

Locations

- Texas

Show indicators with data:
- For Texas
- By County
- By City
- By Congressional District (113th Congress)
- By Congressional District (109th-112th Congress)

Topics

- See All Topics
- Demographics
- Economic Well-Being
- Education
- Family & Community
- Health

Indicators by Race and Ethnicity

- Total population by race/ethnicity
### SELECTED KIDS COUNT INDICATORS FOR DALLAS COUNTY, TEXAS

#### Total Population (Number)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Data Type</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>24,326,974</td>
<td>24,782,302</td>
<td>25,145,561</td>
<td>25,674,681</td>
<td>26,059,203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>2,387,963</td>
<td>2,429,276</td>
<td>2,368,139</td>
<td>2,403,579</td>
<td>2,427,813</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Total Child Population (Number)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Data Type</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>6,495,224</td>
<td>6,557,436</td>
<td>6,865,824</td>
<td>6,952,177</td>
<td>6,996,352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>663,309</td>
<td>672,235</td>
<td>654,263</td>
<td>660,730</td>
<td>664,401</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Poverty (All Ages) (Number & Percent)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Data Type</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>4,143,077</td>
<td>4,411,273</td>
<td>4,627,604</td>
<td>4,565,185</td>
<td>4,531,427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>17.1%</td>
<td>17.9%</td>
<td>18.5%</td>
<td>17.9%</td>
<td>17.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>450,082</td>
<td>446,466</td>
<td>475,446</td>
<td>466,855</td>
<td>477,557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>18.7%</td>
<td>19.0%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>19.3%</td>
<td>19.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Tools

• Sorting/ranking
• Maps
• Line graphs
• Bar graphs
What county has the lowest rate of child poverty in Texas? The highest?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Data Type</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>1,655,085</td>
<td>1,746,564</td>
<td>1,825,007</td>
<td>1,777,177</td>
<td>1,739,298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>24.3%</td>
<td>25.7%</td>
<td>26.6%</td>
<td>25.8%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderson</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>2,917</td>
<td>3,072</td>
<td>3,051</td>
<td>3,090</td>
<td>3,059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>25.8%</td>
<td>27.2%</td>
<td>27.0%</td>
<td>27.8%</td>
<td>27.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrews</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>727</td>
<td>832</td>
<td>836</td>
<td>735</td>
<td>774</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>17.1%</td>
<td>19.4%</td>
<td>18.4%</td>
<td>15.5%</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angelina</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>5,654</td>
<td>6,178</td>
<td>6,608</td>
<td>6,849</td>
<td>7,528</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Poverty (0-17)

**Year(s):** 2013  |  **Data Type:** Percent

**Data Provided by:** Center for Public Policy Priorities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Rockwall</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Williamson</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Collin</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Hartley</td>
<td>10.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>King</td>
<td>10.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Denton</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CAUTION
Visualizing Data:

What is your message? What is the data story you want to tell?
POVERTY (0-17)

Select Table Type:

- TABLE
- MAP
- LINE GRAPH
- BAR GRAPH

Texas Locations

RERefine This Indicator

Texas Locations

Year(s): 5 selected | Data Type: All

Data Provided by: Center for Public Policy Priorities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Data Type</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>1,655,085</td>
<td>1,746,564</td>
<td>1,825,007</td>
<td>1,777,177</td>
<td>1,739,298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>24.3%</td>
<td>25.7%</td>
<td>26.6%</td>
<td>25.8%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderson</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>2,917</td>
<td>3,072</td>
<td>3,051</td>
<td>3,090</td>
<td>3,059</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Poverty (0-17)
Year(s): 2013 | Data Type: Number
Data Provided by: Center for Public Policy Priorities

To view all years at one time, download raw data.

DATA TYPE
Choose one:
- Number
- Percent

DATA PROVIDER
CENTER FOR PUBLIC POLICY PRIORITIES
Poverty (0-17)

Year(s): 2013 | Data Type: Percent

Data Provided by: Center for Public Policy Priorities
POVERTY (0-17) (PERCENT) - 2013

Center for Public Policy Priorities
KIDS COUNT Data Center, datacenter.kidscount.org
A project of the Annie E. Casey Foundation

Change map color palette
Child Poverty

Texas should be a place where a child's beginnings, however humble, do not limit life's path. Today more than 1.7 million children in Texas live in poverty, or 25 percent of all Texas children. Poverty affects children in many ways. Children living in poverty tend to have worse health than children who do not live in poverty. Low-income children also tend to perform less well on standardized tests of math and reading. They are also at higher risk for abuse and neglect.

Childhood poverty's effects can continue throughout adulthood. Children who live in poverty are less likely to complete high school and to attend and complete postsecondary education or training, which affects their job opportunities and earnings. As adults, they are more likely to suffer from chronic health conditions such as asthma, diabetes and heart disease. All of this results in a higher likelihood of living in poverty as an adult.

Although the effects of poverty can be damaging, poverty is not destiny. Investing in services for children like early childhood and K-12 education, health and mental health care, and nutrition supports both alleviates the difficulties of living in poverty and can lift children out of poverty in the long term. Equipping parents with the skills, tools and incomes they need to create stable families has immediate as well as long-term benefits for kids.

Although Texas has a high child poverty rate compared to the United States, state policies can lift more families out of poverty and alleviate its harmful effects on children. As Texas' child population continues to grow and diversify, the public, nonprofit and private sectors must join together to make sure all Texas kids have the chance to compete in life. We dare Texas to take a long-term view, and invest in low-income kids so that they can become prosperous adults.

We Dare Texas!
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- Bexar: 11.1
- Dallas: 8.7
- Harris: 5.1
- Tarrant: 11.5
- Travis: 7.9
Feel free to contact me for data questions and requests:

Jennifer Lee, Research Associate
lee@cppp.org
(512) 823-2871